### Letter to the Editor

# Effects of Serum Type on Steroid Receptor Binding Sites and Response to Progestin of Cultured Human Breast Cancer Cells

HANNELORE BRAUNSBERG, NICHOLAS G. COLDHAM and ROBIN E. LEAKE\*

Department of Chemical Pathology, St. Mary's Hospital Medical School, London W2 1PG and \*Department of Biochemistry,
University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, U.K.

RECENT experiments on the mode of action of a progestin on human breast cancer cells have provided evidence for diverse effects and mechanisms [1]. Growth inhibitory effects appeared to be mediated by both progestogen and glucocorticoid receptors (PR and GR) while the mechanism of a growth-promoting effect remains to be elucidated. The finding that progestin-binding activity of cultured breast cancer cells (MCF-7) can be modulated specifically by addition of dextran-charcoaltreated calf serum [2] suggested that a model may be available for further studies of progestin action through mechanisms not involving PR. We therefore investigated the effects of charcoal-stripped newborn calf serum (SNBCS) on concentrations of PR, GR and oestrogen receptor (ER) binding sites and on the growth inhibitory actions of medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) on MCF-7 cells.

Cells were maintained, harvested and counted, sera treated and receptor binding sites estimated by means of whole cell assays as described previously [1]. Foetal and newborn sera (FCS and NBCS) from single batches (Gibco, Paisley, Scotland), stripped of small molecules as described previously [1] were used throughout.

#### STEROID RECEPTOR BINDING SITES

Estimates of ER, PR and GR binding sites varied widely, even between flasks of the same passage, and remained high in the presence of

SNBCS (Table 1). These variations are extremely unlikely to be attributable to the assay procedure since control experiments using this whole cell assay gave a coefficient of variation consistently less than 10%. As the results are expressed per unit weight of DNA, variations in cell recovery should not influence the final value. However, receptor synthesis does vary relative to the degree of confluence. Cells were generally assayed as the culture approached confluence but it was not possible to ensure the same degree of confluence in all cases. Mean levels for ER (± S.D.) were  $0.53 \pm 0.33$  (FCS),  $0.67 \pm 0.89$  (SFCS) and 1.81 ± 0.89 (SNBCS) pmol/mg DNA, while corresponding levels for PR were  $2.7 \pm 1.1$ ,  $10 \pm 11$ and  $3.9 \pm 2.6$  pmol/mg DNA and for GR  $0.51 \pm 0.33$ ,  $1.8 \pm 1.9$  and  $1.1 \pm 0.83$  pmol/mg DNA. Estimates of PR were unusually high for passage 102 following its first passage with SFCS and SNBCS, but there was no reason for rejecting these values.

## GROWTH INHIBITORY RESPONSE TO MPA

MCF-7 cells respond to low concentrations of MPA with growth inhibition [1], suggesting a progestin receptor-mediated effect. A glucocorticoid action of the drug has also been demonstrated [1]. In the experiments reported here cells grown and passaged up to 4 times in media containing charcoal-stripped FCS (SFCS) showed responses to 10 nM MPA (Table 2). Replacement of SFCS with charcoal-stripped NBCS (SNBCS) did not

Accepted 22 August 1986.

Table 1. Effect of 24 standard or investigational agents on 125I-EGF binding

Drug	Concentration (µg/ml)	<sup>125</sup> I-EGF-binding (% Control ± S.D.)	P value	
Actinomycin D	0.01	78 ± 5	0.010	
m-AMSA	0.50	$73 \pm 4$	0.003	
Ara C	10.00	$116 \pm 14$	0.277	
Bisantrene	0.50	$87 \pm 6$	0.118	
Bleomycin	0.20	$88 \pm 3$	0.016	
Cisplatin	0.20	$80 \pm 5$	0.043	
Dacarbazine (DTIC)	0.10	$83 \pm 3$	0.006	
Diaziquone (AZQ)	0.30	$87 \pm 6$	0.101	
Doxorubicin	. 0.04	$87 \pm 8$	0.188	
2-FLAMP	0.10	$106 \pm 6$	0.326	
5-Fluorouracil	6.00	$74 \pm 3$	0.002	
Hexamethylmelamine	1.00	$111 \pm 3$	0.015	
4-Hydroperoxy-cyclo-				
phosphamide	3.00	$80 \pm 5$	0.015	
Interferon A	1000.00*	$104 \pm 3$	0.237	
Melphalan	10.00	$86 \pm 5$	0.053	
Menogaril	0.02	$87 \pm 5$	0.057	
Methotrexate	10.00	$116 \pm 13$	0.220	
MGBG	10.00	75 ± 3	0.001	
Mitomycin C	0.10	$97 \pm 4$	0.545	
Mitoxantrone	0.05	97 ± 5	0.631	
Thioguanine	1.00	$84 \pm 2$	0.004	
Vinblastine	0.50	$100 \pm 15$	0.991	
Vincristine	0.01	$84 \pm 3$	0.007	
VP 16	3.0	$87 \pm 3$	0.011	

<sup>\*</sup>International Units.

MCF-7 cells were cultured in six-well plates. When the cells were nearly confluent, EGF binding studies were performed in the presence of the specified concentrations of each drug. The results are expressed as percentage of untreated control. Numbers represent the mean and standard deviation of triplicate determinations.

as an index of membrane integrity.

After a 30 min preincubation, colony survival was reduced by each drug in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1). Trypan blue exclusion was not affected by drug treatment, indicating that cell membranes were not grossly altered. EGF binding was moderately increased by cisplatin at very low concentrations, but no consistent effect on EGFbinding was observed for the other four drugs. However, when the drug preincubation time was prolonged to 2 hr, a significant reduction in EGF receptor binding as well as colony survival was observed with two of the five drugs, vinblastine and cisplatin (Figs. 2D and 2E). At the highest concentrations tested, binding was reduced to 69% of control with vinblastine and to 32% of control with cisplatin. The reduction in binding with these two agents was reproducible in multiple experiments. Inhibition of binding required higher concentrations than inhibition of colony growth. 4-Hydroperoxy-cyclophosphamide and 5-fluorouracil inhibited colony survival, but had no effect on trypan blue exclusion or EGF binding (Figs. 2B, 2C). Doxorubicin led to moderate increase in EGF binding at the highest concentration (Fig. 2A).

Effect of cisplatin on receptor affinity and concentration

We next investigated the effects of cisplatin, the most potent drug, on EGF receptor binding in more detail. Binding studies were repeated at 0°C to prevent ligand degradation and hormone-receptor internalization. Scatchard analysis [34] of binding data obtained from cells incubated with constant tracer amounts of <sup>125</sup>I-EGF and increasing concentrations of unlabeled EGF revealed that the major effect of cisplatin was a 3-fold reduction in binding affinity (Fig. 3). Receptor concentration was not significantly altered. Identical data were obtained from Scatchard analyses of binding studies using increasing concentrations of <sup>125</sup>I-EGF (data not shown).

### DISCUSSION

Our studies indicate that binding of EGF to target cells can be disturbed *in vitro* by pretreatment with high concentrations of vinblastine and cisplatin. The effect is time dependent and was observed after exposure to the drug for 2 hr; simultaneous incubation or preincubation with drug for 30 min did not result in consistent changes in EGF receptor binding. Scatchard analysis suggests that the affin-

Table 2. Growth-inhibitory effects of MPA on MCF-7 cells grown with SFCS and SNBCS

Serum	Passage	Passage with given serum	Days grown	Treatment	No. of cells (% of control)	P*
SFCS	29	1	7	Control	100 ± 12	
	23	•		10nM	$65 \pm 3$	< 0.01
	32	1	6	Control	$100 \pm 9$	
	3-			lnM	$47 \pm 4$	< 0.001
	74	1	5	Control	$100 \pm 9$	
				$10 \mathrm{n}\mathbf{M}$	$62 \pm 3$	< 0.001
75 76 77 78 80 83	75	1	6	Control	$100 \pm 9$	
				10nM	$50 \pm 6$	< 0.001
	76	2	11	Control	$100 \pm 14$	
				10 nM	$26 \pm 2$	< 0.001
	77	3	9	Control	$100 \pm 6$	
				10nM	14 ± 1	< 0.001
	78	4	13	Control	$100 \pm 5$	
				10nM	$8 \pm 1$	< 0.001
	80	1	6	Control	$100 \pm 10$	
				10 nM	$23 \pm 7$	< 0.001
	83	l	7	Control	$100 \pm 5$	
				l nM	$46 \pm 1$	< 0.001
59 61 76 77 78	57	3	8	Control	$100 \pm 46$	
				10nM	$64 \pm 15$	NS
	59	5	6	Control	$100 \pm 12$	
				10n <b>M</b>	$120 \pm 40$	NS
	61	7	10	Control	$100 \pm 12$	
				10nM	$76 \pm 5$	< 0.02
	76	2	7	Control	$100 \pm 8$	
				10nM	$42 \pm 3$	< 0.001
	77	3	12	Control	$100 \pm 6$	
				10nM	$23 \pm 3$	< 0.001
	78	4	9	Control	$100 \pm 13$	
				10nM	$40 \pm 5$	< 0.001
	80	6	13	Control	$100 \pm 6$	
				10nM	$22 \pm 2$	< 0.001

<sup>\*</sup>Student's t-test: difference from control.

dextran-charcoal in their laboratory [2] and twice in ours [1] and more of any factor(s) responsible for the changes observed by Eckert and Katzenellenbogen may have been removed by our treatment. However, it seems most likely that the quality of sera varies from batch to batch and that the serum we investigated did not display the property described. The authors did not indicate whether their results could be obtained with different batches of foetal and calf sera or were confined only to a single set of each.

Attenuation of PR synthesis in breast cancer cells by bovine serum factors does not appear to be a reproducible phenomenon. The relevance of such attenuation to human breast cancer and its treatment remains to be established.

Acknowledgements—We are indebted to Dr. Marc Lippman (National Cancer Institute, Bethesda MD) for MCF-7 cells, to Upjohn Company for MPA, and to St. Mary's Hospital for financial support.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Braunsberg H, Coldham NG, Leake RE, Wong W. Actions of a progestogen on human breast cancer cells: mechanisms of growth stimulation and inhibition. *Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol.* 1987, 23, 563-571.
- 2. Eckert RL, Katzenellenbogen BS. Modulation of progestin binding activity in cultured human breast carcinoma cells: the effect of serum type and concentration. *J Recept Res* 1983, 3, 599-621.
- 3. Nawata H, Chong MT, Bronzert D, Lippman ME. Estradiol-independent growth of a subline of MCF-7 human breast cancer cells in culture. *J Biol Chem* 1981, **256**, 1895–1902.
- 4. Nawata H, Bronzert D, Lippman ME. Isolation of a tamoxifen-resistant cell line derived from MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. J Biol Chem 1981, 256, 5016-5021.

- 5. Horwitz KB, Friedenberg GR. Growth inhibition and increase of insulin receptors in antiestrogen-resistant T47D<sub>co</sub> human breast cancer cells by progestins: implications for endocrine therapies. Cancer Res 1985, 45, 167-173.

  6. Lippman ME, Osborne CK, Knazek R, Young N. In vitro model systems for the study of hormone-dependent human breast cancer. New Eng J Med 1977; 296, 154-159.